

Numerical studies of cloud turbulence – from mesoscale moist convection to cloud microphysics

Jörg Schumacher

Institute of Thermodynamics and Fluid Mechanics, TU Ilmenau, Germany EFMC12, Vienna, 2018



Outline

Motivation

- Characteristic scales of cloud dynamics
- Direct numerical simulation studies
 - → Mesoscale: cloud patterns in moist Boussinesq convection
 - → Microscale: turbulent entrainment and droplet microphysics
- Outlook

Joint work with:

Paul Götzfried (TU Ilmenau) Bipin Kumar (Indian Institute of Tropical Meteorology Pune) Olivier Pauluis (New York University) Raymond A. Shaw (Michigan Technological University Houghton) Thomas Weidauer (Friedrich Schiller University Jena)

Atmospheric convection



Formation of clouds in the atmosphere

Deep and shallow convection



Low cloud parametrization in climate models

Stephens, J. Climate 2005

Which feedback results from a fixed 1% per year increase of CO₂ concentration?



GCM=Global Circulation Model

Change in Low Cloud Amount (%/K)

Turbulence in clouds



Typical scales in a cloud

 $r = 10^{-5}m$ Cloud droplet radius

Largest scale of turbulence $L \sim 10^3 m$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Smallest scale of turbulence} & \eta_K \sim 10^{-3}m \\ \mbox{Characteristic velocity} & U \sim 1 \, m/s \end{array} \right\} \ Re = \frac{UL}{\nu} \sim 10^8 \ \Rightarrow Re_\lambda \sim 10^4 \ \end{array}$

- Lifetime of cloud Shortest time scale
- Particle inertia effects

Gravitational settling

Droplet Evaporation

$$T_L \sim 10^3 s$$

$$\tau_\eta \sim 50 \, ms$$

$$St = \frac{\tau_p}{\tau_\eta} = \frac{2\rho_l r^2}{9\rho_v \eta_K^2} \sim 2 \times 10^{-2}$$
$$Sv = \frac{\tau_p g}{v_\eta} = \frac{2\rho_l r^2 g \tau_\eta}{9\rho_v \nu \eta_K} \sim 10^{-1}$$
$$Da_\eta = \frac{\tau_\eta}{\tau_{phase}} \sim 10^{-2}$$
$$Da_L = \frac{T_L}{\tau_{phase}} \sim 100$$

Turbulent mixing in clouds = multiscale + multiphysics

Homogeneous vs. inhomogeneous mixing

Burnet & Brenguier, J. Atmos. Sci. 2007; Lehmann, Siebert & Shaw, J. Atmos. Sci. 2009





Part 1

Moist Rayleigh-Bénard convection simulations at cloud mesoscale

Boussinesq equations for moist convection

Bannon, J. Atmos. Sci. 2001

Mass balance

Momentum balance

Energy balance

Vapor mixing ratio Liquid water mixing ratio

$$\begin{split} \nabla \cdot \vec{u} &= 0\\ \partial_t \vec{u} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} &= -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \nabla p + \nu \nabla^2 \vec{u} + \vec{f}\\ \partial_t T + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) T &= \kappa \nabla^2 T + \frac{L}{c_p} C_d \end{split}$$
 $\partial_t q_v + (ec{u} \cdot
abla) q_v = \kappa_v
abla^2 q_v - C_d$ $\partial_t q_l + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) q_l = C_d$ $\vec{f} = g \left[\frac{T - T_0}{T_0} + \left(\frac{R_v}{R_d} - 1 \right) \left(q_v - q_{v0} \right) - q_l \right] \vec{e_z}$

plus b.c. & model to determine condensation rate C_d

Additional space- and time-dependent latent heat release or evaporative cooling

Boussinesq equations for shallow clouds

Bannon, J. Atmos. Sci. 2001

No fallout of rain

 ∂

Ice-free clouds

Compressibility effects are negligible due to low heights

Mass balance

Momentum balance

Energy balance

Total water mixing ratio

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot \vec{u} &= 0\\ \partial_t \vec{u} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} &= -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \nabla p + \nu \nabla^2 \vec{u} + \vec{f}\\ \partial_t T + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) T &= \kappa \nabla^2 T + \frac{L}{c_p} C_d + Q_{rad}\\ {}_t q_T + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) q_T &\simeq \kappa_v \nabla^2 q_T \end{aligned}$$

 $\vec{f} = \vec{f}(T, q_T, z)$

plus b.c. & model to determine condensation rate C_d

Simple thermodynamics of phase changes

Bretherton, J. Atmos. Sci. 1987, 1988; Pauluis & JS, Comm. Math. Sci. 2010

What is the least set of moist convection equations to describe cloud formation processes?

 \blacksquare Nearly adiabatic motion $\ T \rightarrow S$

Total water content

 $q_T = q_l + q_v$

Piecewise linear equation of state

$$(S, q_T, p) \to (D, M)$$

- Simple saturation condition without supersaturation
- DNS in a large-aspect ratio layer Two buoyancy fields D and M with Ra_D and Ra_M



Three regimes of moist RB convection

	Absolutely stable regime	Conditionally unstable regime	Linearly unstable regime	
	no clouds	isolated clouds	open/closed cloud layers	
	0			Ra_D
	Stably stratified	Stably/unstably stratified	Unstably stratified	
I	$Ra_D < 0 Ra_M > 0$	$Ra_D < 0 Ra_M > 0$	$Ra_D > 0$ $Ra_M > 0$	
	$q_l=0$	$q_l eq 0$	$q_l \neq 0$	$\Delta_{ m GCM}$

Linearly unstable regime

Weidauer, Pauluis & JS, New J. Phys. 2010

Cloud cover determined by the cloud water deficit at the top boundary





Open Cell Convection

Closed Cell Convection

Turbulent transport networks in dry convection

Pandey, Scheel & JS, Nat. Commun. 2018



Ronneberger et al., LNCS, 2015

Conditionally unstable regime

Bjerknes, Quat. J. Royal Meteor. Soc. 1938



Cloud aggregation

Pauluis & JS, PNAS 2011



 $\Gamma=16$





 $\Gamma = 32$

 $\Gamma=64$

Additional radiative cooling

Pauluis & JS, J. Atmos. Sci. 2013

$$LWP = \int_0^H q_l \, dz$$



No cooling

Strong cooling

Additional radiative cooling destabilizes lower diffusion layer and enhances heat transfer and cloud formation



- Simplest extension of Rayleigh-Bénard convection to moist convection with phase changes
- Study of cloud turbulence in cumulus or stratocumulus-type regimes
 - Analysis of pattern formation and related variability of moist buoyancy fluxes at mesoscale

O. Pauluis and JS, Comm. Math. Sci. 8, 295 (2010).
JS and O. Pauluis, J. Fluid Mech. 648, 509 (2010).
T. Weidauer, O. Pauluis, and JS, New J. Phys. 12, 105002 (2010).

- O. Pauluis and JS, PNAS 108, 12623 (2011).
- T. Weidauer, O. Pauluis, and JS, Phys. Rev. E 84, 046303 (2011).
- T. Weidauer and JS, Phys. Fluids 24, 076604 (2012).
- O. Pauluis and JS, J. Atmos. Sci. 70, 1187 (2013).
- T. Weidauer and JS, New J. Phys. 15, 125025 (2013).



Part 2

Euler-Lagrangian simulations at cloud microscale

Helicopter-based field measurements

Siebert et al., Atmos. Chem. Phys. 2013



How does the droplet size distribution at the edge of the cloud respond to the turbulent entrainment and subsequent mixing?

DNS at the cloud interface



J. Atmos. Sci., 2018

J. Atmos. Sci., 2013

Coupled Euler-Lagrange model

$$\begin{array}{rclcrcl} & \nabla \cdot \vec{u} &=& 0\\ & \partial_t \vec{u} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} &=& -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \nabla p + \nu \nabla^2 \vec{u} + \vec{f} & & \\ & \partial_t \vec{u} + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} &=& \kappa \nabla^2 T + \frac{L}{c_p} C_d & & \\ & \partial_t q_v + (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) q_v &=& \kappa_q \nabla^2 q_v - C_d & & \\ & \vec{f} &=& g \left[\frac{T - T_0}{T_0} + \epsilon(q_v - q_{v0}) - q_l \right] \vec{e}_z & & \\ & S(T) = \frac{q_v}{q_{vs}(T)} - 1 & & \\ & f' &=& g \left[\frac{C_d(\vec{x}, t)}{T_0} + \epsilon(q_v - q_{v0}) - q_l \right] \vec{e}_z & & \\ & S(T) = \frac{dv}{q_{vs}(T)} - 1 & & \\ & \frac{d\vec{X}}{dt} &=& \vec{V}(\vec{X}, t) & & \\ & \frac{d\vec{X}}{dt} &=& \vec{V}(\vec{X}, t) & & \\ & \frac{d\vec{X}}{dt} &=& \vec{V}(\vec{X}, t) & & \\ & \frac{d\vec{V}}{dt} &=& \frac{1}{\tau_p} \left[\vec{u}(\vec{X}, t) - \vec{V} \right] + \vec{g} & \\ & r(\vec{X}, t) \frac{dr(\vec{X}, t)}{dt} &=& KS(\vec{X}, t) & & \\ \end{array}$$

Water vapor saturation mixing ratio q_{vs} via Clausius-Clapeyron equation is now a function of T

Simplifications

Stokes drag term only, no history effects (particle Reynolds number <0.1)



Droplet collisions are neglected (collision time is for present conditions ~ 1 h)

$$\tau_{1,2} \sim (n\pi (d_1 + d_2)^2 | v_{t,1} - v_{t,2} | \epsilon_{1,2})^{-1}$$

Devenish et al. QJRMS 2012; Onishi et al., J. Atmos. Sci. 2015; Saito & Gotoh, New J. Phys. 2018

- No two-way coupling (small droplet number density ~ 100 cm⁻³)
- Initially monodisperse droplet ensemble Yang et al. ACP 2018
- Constant material parameters (viscosity, conductivity,..) since T difference is a few degrees only

Effect of domain size

Kumar et al., submitted, 2018



Cubic box with statistically stationary turbulence

Box size varies from 0.128 to 2.048 m

Droplet number varies 1.05×10⁵ to 4.33×10⁸

Same mean dissipation rate, Kolmogorov length (1mm), liquid water content & total water content

Same slab-like initial condition and grid resolution (periodic b.c.)

Entrainment and mixing evolution for a minute or more



Liquid water content and size distributions



complete evaporation of some droplets

Mixing diagrams

Burnet & Brenguier, J. Atmos. Sci. 2007; Lehmann, Siebert & Shaw, J. Atmos. Sci. 2009



Holographic airborne measurements



Mixing diagrams



Inhomogeneous mixing effects increase with domain size

Shear-free mixing layer with phase changes

Tordella & Iovieno, J. Fluid Mech. 2006



Freely decaying turbulence = dissolving cloud

Entrainment process

Götzfried et al., J. Fluid Mech. 2017



Different initial flow conditions affect large scale turbulence only slightly

Evaporative cooling induces shear layer



Enstrophy production

Götzfried et al., J. Fluid Mech. 2017





- Coupled Euler-Lagrangian model to study interplay between turbulence and droplet dynamics at cloud interface
- Effect of different turbulence levels on mixing process remains small
- Increasing box size leads to increase of inhomogeneous mixing and droplet size dispersion
- Evaporative cooling causes downdraught at interface

B. Kumar, F. Janetzko, JS, and R. A. Shaw, New J. Phys. 14, 115020 (2012)

B. Kumar, JS, and R. A. Shaw, Theor. Comput. Fluid Dyn. 27, 361 (2013).

B. Kumar, JS and R. A. Shaw, J. Atmos Sci. 71, 2564 (2014); 74, 1681 (2017).

P. Götzfried, B. Kumar, R. A. Shaw and JS, J. Fluid Mech. 814, 452 (2017).

B. Kumar, P. Götzfried, N. Suresh, JS and R. A. Shaw, submitted (2018).

Outlook

Mesoscale

Extensions of moist Boussinesq models

- large-scale flow forcing
- rotation
- radiative forcing
- Effective parametrization in larger-scale models

Microscale

- Activation of cloud condensation nuclei in an environment with highly fluctuating supersaturation
- Radiative cooling and collision impact on droplet growth







Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

